Generative AI for Students

Please be aware that information will change as Madonna University updates their policies in regards to Generative AI, and that this document will be updated as that information becomes available.

Madonna University is currently working to create a standardized Generative AI policy. In the meantime, individual professors may have different policies in their syllabi. The basic rule of thumb, however, is that unless your professor has specifically said students can use Generative AI, then it is an academic violation to use Generative AI in your essays. Many professors are willing to allow Generative AI use in moderation, as editing help, or as a rhetorical experiment. However, Generative AI must be sourced in these situations.

Madonna's current policy on Generative AI is the following: Any use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) not authorized by the instructor will be considered a violation of the Scholastic Integrity and the Honor Code Pledge.

What does this mean?

Artificial Intelligence (AI) counts as many things, and so it may not always be clear what your professor means when they say AI is not permitted in class. Does it include Grammarly? Microsoft Word's spellcheck feature? What about the Tom March Thesis Builder, which the Madonna University Writing Center promotes, or even Google, which now places an AI summary at the top of each search?

For most of your professors, all of these things are fine, even if they do fall under the umbrella of "AI." What professors *don't* want is to receive papers produced by AI, for multiple reasons:

- It counts as an academic integrity violation
- You (the student) don't show if you have learned anything from the class you paid for
- It's insulting to professors to be asked to read, comment on, and grade work by a chatbot

Academic Integrity

I'm sure you've heard a lot about academic integrity from your high school teachers, your professors, your UNV 1020 class, and even the Writing Center. We like to talk a lot about it!

Academic integrity boils down to the idea that you, and you alone, and responsible for your work, and that you did it in an ethical and responsible manner. You cited all your sources and gave credit where credit is due. You didn't have your friend write it, or ask a computer to do it, because you take responsibility for your education.

Myth: Generative AI Will Save Me Time

Whenever I find plagiarism in a student paper, I have to send an e-mail asking the student to come to my office and talk. I invariably get the following response: "Ugh, I do not have time for this!"

This is a common concern of students, and one that makes Generative AI seem just that more appealing. You're busy; you have 18 credits, a sport, a job, a family, friends, maybe even a significant other you'd like to see more than once a month. Isn't it easier to take a few hours off your plate and have Generative AI write your essay for you?

However, this is a misconception. Generative AI will not save you time in the long run. When your professors find out you're using Generative AI, you will be called into more meetings, get sent more e-mails, assigned mandatory tutoring, and potentially still fail the assignment. But you are also going to lose out on valuable learning which will help you down the road.

It is also important to note here that Generative AI is often wrong, and hallucinates "facts" and sources. For students looking to save time, or cut corners by having Generative AI produce a citation list, relying unthinkingly on AI will mean being called in, again, to more meetings, as professors will assume—fairly, in this case—that you did not do, or did not understand, the reading. It is far easier to take the time to do the assignment well, than to rely on Generative AI and end up paying for it with extra tutoring and the repercussions of violating the Academic Honesty Policy.

Why Do I Need to Learn This/Do This When Generative AI Could Do It?

Madonna University is a proud liberal arts college. Our goal is to expand on your high school education and offer you opportunities you will not be able to get anywhere else, and continue giving you chances to make new experiences and learn things that you might not independently have sought out. Therefore, Madonna University students take a lot of general education classes that, to some students, seem pointless.

For these students, taking a core curriculum class might feel insulting; a waste not only of time, but of money. However, these classes are meant to help broaden your knowledge of the world around you. Taking these classes will make you a more well-rounded individual—and that's something employers *want*. Being able to chat about books, history, politics, the environment, scientific breakthroughs, and talk up your Spanish or dance class makes you seem like a better candidate than someone who can only talk about their field. However, using Generative AI means you miss out on all of this.

Using Generative AI Responsibly

That said, some professors will incorporate Generative AI into their lesson plans and assignments. Madonna University wants to prepare you for your future, and like it or not, Generative AI is going to be a part of it. We want to ensure that you know how to use it ethically, responsibly, and in a way that will not land you on the nine o'clock news.

If a professor allows you to use Generative AI, make sure you follow all the requirements of the assignment, such as including screenshots of your conversation with Generative AI, or whatever else might be required. You will also want to make sure you are sourcing Generative AI, and identifying what you wrote versus what the technology produced. Here is how to source Generative AI in APA and MLA formats.

How to Source Generative AI in APA Format

Reference citation:

Publisher of Program. (Year). *Name of Program in italics* (date of version) [Large language model]. Link to program.

OpenAI. (2023). *ChatGPT* (Mar 14 version) [Large language model]. https://chat.openai.com/chat

Google. (2024). *Gemini* (Nov 11 version) [Large language model]. https://gemini.google.com/app

In-text citations: (OpenAI, 2023).

How to Source Generative AI in MLA Format

Unlike APA, MLA does not recognize AI as an author. You would therefore proceed as if the information Generative AI provided comes from a website with an anonymous author, and place the title of the chat first.

- "Title of chat with AI" prompt. *Name of AI program*. Day Month Version, Program Publisher, Day Month Year accessed, link to program.
- "Describe the themes of Christopher Marlowe's play *Doctor Faustus*" prompt. *ChatGPT*. 13 Feb. version, OpenAI, 13 Nov. 2023, chat.openai.com/chat
- "Create an Itinerary for a Trip to New Orleans" prompt. *Gemini*. 11 Nov. version, Google, 19 Nov. 2024, https://gemini.google.com/app

In-text citations would place the abbreviated title in quotation marks ("Describe the themes").

How to Source Generative AI in Chicago Style

Like APA, the Generative AI platform stands in as author of a text.

For a **footnote** in Chicago style, the citation depends on if you explain the prompt in the body of your text, or not.

A citation where you explain the prompt in the essay would look like so:

1. Text generated by ChatGPT, OpenAI, March 7, 2023, https://chat.openai.com/chat.

A citation in which you did not name the prompt in your essay would look like this:

2. 1. ChatGPT, response to "What articles should I read for my paper on the Civil War," OpenAI, March 7, 2023.

When using **author-date** Chicago style, you do not need to put a bibliographic citation at the end of your paper. You only need to use an in-text citation: (ChatGPT, March 7, 2023). **Only cite a Generative AI conversation in the Bibliography if there is a URL attached to it.** At this time, most Generative AI programs do not provide stable links to conversations.